

**Brunswick Hills Township
ZONING COMMISSION
AGENDA
Continued Public Hearing & Regular Meeting
Thursday, May 2, 2019 / 7:00 pm**

Open Continued Public Hearing Meeting

Call meeting to Order Chair Jenkins
Roll Call: Secretary Milanko

Continued Public Hearing – Text Amendments to Add Sec. 303-14 Agritourism, Definitions, and Regulations to the Brunswick Hills Township Zoning Resolution.

- Review Planning Commission staff report comments and recommendations and recommendations by the Prosecutor's Office
- Public Comment
- Motion to continue public hearing to date and time said or send recommendations to the Board of Trustees for their action

Adjourn public hearing meeting.

Open Regular Meeting

Call meeting to Order: Chair Jenkins
Roll Call: Secretary Milanko

Approval of the Minutes

1. Approval of the April 4, Public Hearing Minutes
2. Approval of the April 4, Regular Meeting Minutes

Continued Business:

1. Agritourism:

- Continued discussion on proposed language if needed

2. Review of the Brunswick Hills Township Zoning Resolution/Proposed Text Amendments: Section with Action Items

Refer to Matthew Mickas Revised BHT Zoning Resolution Modifications (Dated ZC March 7, 2019/Matthew Mickas

- Sec. 904-3 Referral to the Zoning Commission
- Sec. 904-4 Zoning Commission Action
- Sec. 904-5

New Business:

Additional Business:

Public Input:

Announcement of Next Meeting Date: Thursday, June 6, 2019 @ 7 p.m.

Adjourn Regular Meeting

**Brunswick Hills Township
Zoning Commission Regular Meeting
April 4, 2019**

REGULAR MEETING: Vice Chair Mickas called the Zoning Commission Regular Meeting to order at 7:25 pm. A roll call of the board was executed.

- **Board Members in Attendance:** Matthew Mickas (Vice Chair), Barb Porter; Trica Murphy, Linda Kijek
- **Alternate Board Members in Attendance:** Patti Wetterman
- **Others in Attendance:** Trustee John Witthuhn, Zoning Liaison; Evelyn Czyz, Zoning Inspector; Mary Jean Milanko, Zoning Secretary; Fire Chief Anthony Strazzo
- **Members Not in Attendance:** Sandra Jenkins, Chair (Excused Absence)

Alternate member Mrs. Wetterman was seated to represent a full board in the absence of Mrs. Jenkins.

Approval of the Minutes:

1. Approval of the March 7, 2019 Regular Meeting Minutes

Motion: Mrs. Porter made a motion to approve the Brunswick Hills Township Zoning Commission Meeting minutes for March 7, 2019 as written. Mrs. Murphy seconds. **Roll Call:** Mrs. Kijek-yes; Mrs. Murphy-yes; Mrs. Wetterman – abstain; Mrs. Porter-yes; Mr. Mickas-abstain. Motion carries to approve.

Continued Business:

1. Agritourism:

Vice Chair Mickas stated we discussed Agritourism at the public hearing but would entertain any additional comments by the board. No further discussion.

2. Review of the Brunswick Hills Township Zoning Resolution/Proposed Text Amendments:

[Reference the March 7, 2019 proposed edits by Matthew Mickas]

1. Sec. 902-2 (E) Submission of Applications

Mr. Mickas stated this section came about as we discussed the ability to send the site development plans to the fire department or review. Mr. Mickas stated there were questions about the wording of approval or denial by the fire department. He said the intention was more of a recommendation from the fire department to the Zoning Inspector because the Zoning Commission makes the recommendation to approve or deny to the Trustees. Mr. Mickas stated the language needs to change slightly and he will try to revise it for the next meeting. Mrs. Murphy asked about the number of days referenced in the section for the fire department and the issuing of a Zoning Certificate by the Zoning Inspector. Secretary Milanko said the Zoning Inspector stated at the last meeting she wants their recommendation back in one week. Mr. Mickas stated we are changing the ten (10) days to thirty (30) days within this section: *The Zoning Inspector shall issue a Zoning Certificate within ~~ten (10)~~ **Thirty (30)** days after approval of a site development plan by the ~~Zoning Commission~~ **Board of Trustees**.*

2. Sec. 904-3 Referral to the Zoning Commission

Mr. Mickas stated he would revise Sec. 904-3 because it also references sending to the fire department for review and comments.

3. Sec. 904-4 Zoning Commission Action

Mr. Mickas stated this section discusses approval, denial or modifications thereof by the Zoning Commission.

- The board confirmed revisions to Sec. 904-4 and Mr. Mickas noted it changed the number of sections because we added a new section for referral to the fire department.

Sec. 904-4 Referral to Zoning Commission

Upon receipt of an application that requires a site development plan as required by Section 902-2(C), the Zoning Inspector shall forward copies of the completed application and site development plan to the Zoning Commission for review and ~~comment~~ **recommendation for approval, denial or approval with specified conditions of modifications**. Applications which are submitted prior to the established deadline date shall be placed on the next regularly scheduled meeting at which time the Commission shall consider said site development plan.

4. **Sec. 904-5 Zoning Commission Action**

Sec. 904-5 Zoning Commission Action

The Zoning Commission shall act upon an application for site development plan approval within forty-five (45) days of the date the site development plan is first heard by the Commission unless such time is extended with the consent of the applicant. The Zoning Commission may ~~either approve~~ **recommend approval, denial or approval with specified conditions or modifications of the site development plan as submitted to the Board of Trustees**, ~~deny the application, or approve the site plan with specified conditions or modifications.~~

Mrs. Murphy asked if we have verified whether the forty-five (45) days are calendar days or working days? Mr. Mickas stated he believed the 45 days should be working days and wondered if there was any requirement by the ORC and said 45 working days vs. 45 calendar days is significant. Mr. Mickas stated at our past meeting we clarified that working days are Monday through Friday excluding US holidays is the definition we received back from the Prosecutor's Office. He said we don't necessarily need to be consistent to change everything in our book to working days but we need to review the intention of the number of days stated.

- **Action Item:** Mr. Mickas will get clarity from the ORC and Prosecutor's Office on forty-five (45) days

5. **Sec. 904-6 Conformance Required**

Sec. 904-6 Conformance Required

Developments shall conform in all respects to the approved site development plan. No building or premises shall be occupied or used except in conformance with the approved site development plan and this Zoning Resolution. The Zoning Inspector may make such periodic inspections during construction of the project as may be necessary and appropriate to determine continued compliance with the approved site development plan and Zoning Resolution.

- Confirmed by board only change in 904-6 is a new section number.

6. **Sec. 904-7 Professional Assistance**

Sect 904-7 Professional Assistance

The Zoning Inspector, with the authorization of the Township Trustees, may seek expert advice or require special studies to be made prior to acting on any site plan. The cost of securing such advice or studies shall be borne by the applicant, which sum shall be placed on deposit with the Township Trustees.

- Confirmed by board only change in 904-7 is a new section number.

Continued Business:

3. Request by Fire Department to Put Fences Around Commercial Bodies of Water

Mr. Mickas asked Chief Strazzo if he had additional information to present to the board on the request. Chief Strazzo stated we are not prepared to present tonight but we are putting a presentation together to bring before the board at another date. Mr. Mickas stated the board would like more clarity on the commercial properties that the fire department is considering on the request. Chief Strazzo stated we are working on a complete outline with more defined parameters.

New Business: None

Additional Business: None

Public Input:

1. Kristin Hopkins, CT Consultants, Mentor Ohio (Planning, Engineering Architecture Firm on behalf of David Terry, Terry Properties). Ms. Hopkins stated she was here on behalf of Mr. David Terry, Terry Properties, who has put together an application for your consideration on a request for rezoning of approximately 250 acres of land shown on the aerial photo here in red (note: aerial photo not submitted for the record). She stated this is north of Center Road and to the north of what is currently in this area here for Planned Mix Use Overlay district (PMUO). She pointed to the photo and said this area here was zoned approximately 10- 15 years ago to the Planned Mixed Use Overlay which was created for this particular project and as part of your plan there is provision in there that allows for the expansion of that zoning classification.

Ms. Hopkins stated the request tonight is for your initial consideration of what would require two actions at a later date (1) Approve the expansion of the Planned Mixed-Use Overlay (PMUO) district zoning and at the same time (2) the approval of general development plan that meets the requirements in the zoning resolution and that is the requirements in Chapter 406 – Planned Mixed Use Overlay District. Ms. Hopkins stated Sec. 406 spells out the requirements for the general development plan. She said tonight, there is a provision in the zoning resolution that applies to a separate, but a very similar planned overlay district that does allow for the applicant to present to the Zoning Commission as what's called a "pre-application meeting" to have a conversation with you regarding the proposal and to get your thoughts and comments. She said that section of the zoning resolution clearly states those are clearly your thoughts; its nothing that the applicant can then go back and say that there is anything that would hold whatever the Zoning Commission said that he could hold you to those comments you made. The thought is by having this pre-application meeting that there would be some chance for discussion and helping you understand what the applicant is thinking about and proposing as well as allowing the applicant to hear some of your initial thoughts, what your concerns might be, what other things you might want to see addressed in the application. She said so I'll move forward with the application that we've submitted. Secretary Milanko asked when did you submit the application? Mrs. Czyz, Zoning Inspector, stated you didn't formally submit that and if you remember, you held that back. Ms. Hopkins said, so they have not seen this? Mrs. Czyz said the Zoning Commission has not seen this. Vice Chair Mickas confirmed we have not seen the application nor is it on our agenda tonight. Ms. Hopkins stated that was her misunderstanding and said she will walk the board through the proposal.

Preliminary PMUO Project Proposal

Ms. Hopkins stated currently this district here encompasses 97 acres which has the commercial shopping center at the corner of Marks Road and Center Road. It butts up against Liverpool Township and then it has some townhouses and single-family subdivisions part of this Planned Mix Use Overlay district. The request is to consider the expansion of PMUO to the north, which encompasses approximately 30 acres and then expanding to the east and encompassing approximately 219 acres. She said this would encompass approximately 17 acres north of Center Road that is currently zoned C-1 and some of those properties are already owned by Mr. Terry or Terry Properties which would include three parcels to the north which is 13 acres currently zoned R-1. Ms. Hopkins stated then to the east this is the golf course and then there are a

couple of properties south of the golf course that would be included in this proposal which would encompass approximately 12 acres of land that is zoned Industrial on the east side of Substation Road. The entrance to the golf course right now is across the street from land that is owned by the township (gifted to the township) and the northern section of the proposed rezoning on the east side is zoned R-1 and the southern bulk of that area is zoned Rural Residential (RR).

Ms. Hopkins stated the purpose for this request is really to meet market demand that Medina County is experiencing for new homes. She stated there was a report published by the *Medina County Department of Development* which is the comprehensive economic development strategy that was published in 2018 that talked about the need for new housing. Ms. Hopkins stated there has been, and is, continuing demand for new housing and that is one of the critical components that needs to be addressed in order for Medina County from an economic development standpoint to continue to prosper. Ms. Hopkins stated the report says there is a critical shortage of labor; there are a lot of people who live here but work elsewhere; and one of the things this economic development strategy acknowledges and recommends is for expansion of new housing construction.

Ms. Hopkins stated this proposal is in response to that. She said the other thing according to Chapter 406 (Sec. 406 PMUO) for the Planned Mix Use Overlay district is that the proposal needs to include 15% of the project has to be devoted to Commercial, so we've shown on these two development plan concept schematics for this area currently zoned C-1 would be part of that 15% requirement. Then the three parcels to the north would be reserved for multi-family, which is immediately across the street from multi-family that exists now in Liverpool Township. She stated on the golf course property, the northern section of the property would be reserved for Commercial development as well as the 12.5 acre parcel that's on the east side of Substation Road that would be Commercial as well. Ms. Hopkins stated these three areas in total would meet the 15% requirement and then the rest of the area on the golf course would be a combination of single-family and attached single-family or townhouse development.

One of things I would like to point out as to why we think this is a benefit for the township is that by going through the Planned Mix Use Overlay district process and giving you this opportunity to review a general development plan what that then does is it gives you the authority to review the general development plan and the final development plans in great detail. Much more so than if you had a subdivision come through, you would not have that same level of review that you gain by having this property as a Planned Mix Use Overlay district. She said we think this is a benefit and one of the things we are looking for in this proposal is that this area here we would commit to no more than 3.5 units per acre. She said to enable the townhouse development or the multi-family development without going through the PMUO district would require rezoning to the R-3 district, which if we did that then this whole property could be developed at 8.0 units per acre, which we don't think is appropriate or fitting with the character of the community. Ms. Hopkins stated we are proposing your consideration that this multi-family area to the north – because it is across the street from an existing multi-family project that we would request approval for multi-family as a part of this Planned Mix Use Overlay district.

Ms. Hopkins stated so we've illustrated to you two different layouts on where townhouses might be appropriate and whether it's to the east or to the west, this is a higher density area right in through here so it could be shown here tucked up against this existing development. She said, or because it's closer to the east side over here where the east side of Substation Road area is currently zoned Industrial, so we are flexible in terms of where that townhouse development would occur.

Ms. Hopkins said let me back up and show you again how this property is situated within the township. She said this boundary line here represents the boundary between Brunswick Hills Township and Brunswick City so you can see the level of suburban style development that currently exists. She said this yellow line here is along Marks Road and that is the boundary line between Liverpool Township and Brunswick Hills Township and here is the Redwood Multi-family project. Ms. Hopkins stated this area is in Liverpool Township and it is zoned Industrial, so when you look at this in context and you see along Center Road coming all the way from I-71 down Center Road to the west through the City of Brunswick and then into the

township to the corner of Marks Road and Center Road. She said that this is a lot of Commercial development and then you are getting these single-family suburban size lots and then you are coming in the township and you do have some suburban style residential to the north and then you have this higher density to the south.

Ms. Hopkins said we believe this proposal is in-line with the context of the current development pattern that exists along Center Road and said this area through here on the east side of Substation Road is currently zoned Industrial. She said I would like to point out in regard to the proposal that sanitary sewers are available in this area of the township. The Rural Residential zoning is one house per every 2 acres, so the minimum lot size is a 2-acre lot, which has been shown in other areas to be economic challenging to be developed from a suburban subdivision standpoint and can even be unfeasible based on the cost of the sewer. She said so that is another reason why we are requesting the change from the R-R Rural Residential to the PMUO district. Ms. Hopkins stated I mentioned there are some contrasting zoning districts that are already in this area that but up against the proposal so the Industrial zoning in the township as well as the Industrial zoning in Liverpool Township. She said those factors also make it difficult to have Rural Residential style development on the golf course property. She said based on the plans we have laid out and the PMUO requirements we believe that the layout such as this, or tweaked, would have no adverse impact on any of the surrounding properties and like-wise up in this area that there are requirements for buffering and things like that. She said the developments on the north side also we do not think would have an adverse impact on the adjoining properties.

Questions by the Board

Mrs. Kijek asked if where the golf course Coppertop sits is that going to remain a golf course but with houses on it? Mr. David Terry stated that's not Coppertop, that's Cossett Creek. Ms. Hopkins responded no to Mrs. Kijek's question. Mr. David Terry responded yes to Mrs. Kijek's question that it will remain a golf course with houses on it.

2. David Terry, Terry Properties (Developer). Mr. Terry stated we met with the owner of the golf course when we came up with the proposal for the property to the south and we told him what we were addressing and we invited everyone who is contiguous to this proposal if they wanted to join in on this zoning request being a PMUO development here. Mr. Terry said he (golf course owner) elected to depart with this. Mr. Terry said at this point as of today it is a golf course and will be operated as a golf course. Mr. Terry said at some point in the future he may change his mind. Mr. Terry said golf is on the fast track that we don't know where the golfing industry is going. He said there numerous golf courses that have been absorbed for residential development projects not only in Medina County but Cuyahoga County and Lorain County as well. He said golf has started to lose it popularity so therefore there are not enough golfers to support their businesses. Mr. Terry stated so they are struggling and I think there were four golf courses in Medina County shut down.

Ms. Hopkins said I think the question was whether the housing that would be constructed would be constructed around the golf course so that the golf course could continue to operate as a golf course. Mr. Terry said right. He said I met with Trustee Mike Esber about the township's plan to put something in the gifted land on Rt. 303 (across from the golf course) and said this could become a park rather than become a Town Hall center. Mr. Terry said there is a grant that the township received for recreation trails and if we were asked if we would be willing to incorporate these, and we are willing to do that. Mr. Terry said the golf course also agreed in the meeting that they would entertain allowing those recreation trails incorporated into that area. Mr. Terry stated I think the goal is to try to tie it into Laurel Road to the conservation area on Heritage Farm. Mr. Terry so that was just a preliminary discussion and they asked me to contact our engineer as to how to do it and to coordinate it with the head of the Medina County Park System. Mr. Terry stated we are open to that and we think it is a great idea.

Mr. Terry stated I was the developer for this first phase as well and when I came in, I was developing this corner where Sunoco, the car wash and the storage facilities and the gentleman that owned the property to the south wanted to sell it to get it developed and I didn't know what to do with it so I came to zoning and

they recommended that we go to Medina County and Medina County recommended the PMUO Planned Mix Use Overlay district. Mr. Terry stated I think it has worked well and has been well received.

Ms. Hopkins pointed out with relation to the discussion of the potential for trails is the fact that there are some streams that traverse the property and so one of the key aspects of the PMUO is the preservation of open space. Ms. Hopkins stated so the ability to provide the smaller lots to be consistent with the lot sizes on the north side, but to group them in such a way so that the developer can design the project so that you do have this open space that does preserve the natural features to the extent that it would work with the township's trail system.. Ms. Hopkins said in addition to the open space requirement, the other aspect of the PMUO that's in the interest of the township is the developer has to establish Covenants and Restrictions to make sure that the roads are maintained; to make sure that the open space is properly maintained; the storm water management facilities are properly maintained, etc. so there is a lot of additional oversight that township has in the creation of this type of a planned development.

Mr. David Terry said we just wanted to present this and give you some time to think about it, get some input, etc. before we formally present this to the zoning board for consideration. Ms. Hopkins stated this would be a map amendment which means this goes to the Medina County Planning Commission for their review and comment as well. She said the fact that it is a subdivision the Planning Commission will also weigh in on the design of the subdivision as well. Ms. Hopkins stated to that effect, we have met with the Planning Director. End of proposal presentation.

Vice Chair Mickas asked the board if they have any questions or comments.

Public Comment Continued

3. **Tim Miller, 5267 Center Road.** Mr. Miller stated I own the property at 5267 Center Road which is zoned Commercial across from the Drug Mart plaza. He said in the past, how it ever got approved I don't know, but there was no turning lane put in place. Mr. Miller said, he says (Mr. Terry) it did well for the community, but there are two to three accidents a day there. He said I fix my mailbox at least six times or more a year and I had to have the Post Office give me approval to move them into my driveway – that's how many times they were getting hit. He said they came up there and they stood there for a couple of hours and almost saw two accidents. Mr. Miller said now he's coming to see me for my property and wanted me to sign a thing for rezoning which would change my zoning for future use – why I don't know because he didn't tell me that. Mr. Miller said he also didn't give me a price; he didn't want to set a price. He comes to you and says this is all good stuff and if they change my zoning then there goes my property without him making a deal. Mr. Miller pointed to the map and said there is a lot of stuff going on right here and if you guys have been over to Drug Mart and I'm sure everyone understands that. He said somebody signed off on that turning lane because he (Mr. Terry) was supposed to buy my property at that time for the turning lane.

Developer Mr. David Terry asked to respond. Mr. Terry said what happened was because Rt. 303 is a State highway, it's controlled by ODOT and ODOT does what they want to do. Mr. Miller asked, then why did all the other places up the street have to do it? Mr. Terry said you will have to ask ODOT. Mr. Terry said when we go through this new phase I will tell you the formality on how it will be done. He said the first thing that is going to happen if we get this approved is it will go to Medina County Highway Engineer office and they will refer it to ODOT. Then ODOT will come in and I have to believe they are going to make us the developer put in all of those acceleration and deceleration lanes in there as well and probably add a traffic light there. Mr. Terry said this will most likely be at the expense of the developer because it was talked about before – ODOT won't pick up the cost, but they do have the ability to force the developer to do that. Mr. Terry said, so what I am saying is that we are most likely going to have to do that because the other developer was required to do it as well. He said why it was dropped here I don't know, it just went nowhere and they would never approve it. Mr. Terry said I don't know if they are going to want to move the traffic light there or the other thing they may do is want to slow the traffic down because it is 50 mph and I firmly believe because I own these businesses over here and operate them that the speed limit there is just too fast right now – way too fast. He said there is too much traffic for 50 mph and I brought this up to the police department.

Mr. Terry said they come down here at 60 mph and come in the gas station at 60 mph and I had to put an island in the middle of the approach off of Center Road and I put big boulders in it and the first day I did it somebody that had been doing it for a while coming in there didn't see the island and he took his car out on it. Mr. Terry said but we were in our right to do it and I even put in speed bumps in there to slow them down and unless you put a police officer near there the whole time, you can't stop it. Mr. Terry said I sympathize with what he is saying and said it is an issue. This I'm sure is going to correct all of that.

Mr. Miller said but I don't want my zoning changed if this goes through. I bought that for a reason and I did what I did there for a reason. If you want to make a deal with me that's your business but I don't want it rezoned and lower my cost of what it's going to be later on and what I can do with the property. Mr. Terry said we can talk about that but I don't think that conversation should go on here.

4. **Tom Burkhart, 1433 Marks Road** said he didn't hear anything mentioned on Rt. 303 on Substation; south and asked if there is any activity or changes there that might affect me? Ms. Hopkins stated there are no changes for that location on Marks Road. Ms. Hopkins said you are on Marks south of Rt. 303 so the area in white with the black hash marks is already in the PMUO district so we're proposing expanding to the north on Marks Road and to the east on Center and Substation. Ms. Hopkins stated nothing is being proposed for your property. Mrs. Czyz went to the map and showed the board that Mr. Burkhart's property is on Marks Road south of Rt. 303 on the east side of Marks Road. Mrs. Czyz stated there are two parcels here indicated in pink and he lives in the south parcel. Mr. Mickas stated and surrounding area is already the Planned Mix Use Overlay? Mrs. Czyz stated correct.

5. **Robert Murphy, 4041 Foskett Road** asked the developer how many houses are you asking to build? Mr. Terry said it would be determined on..... Mr. Murphy said how many houses as I'm sure you have a plan, 1,000, 500? Mr. Terry said whatever the zoning determines. Mr. Murphy asked if he was going to buy Chief Strazzo a new fire department; are you going to buy us a new police department? Mr. Murphy said he wants to rezone our whole township to fit its needs and I don't think that's right. Mr. Murphy said we do have zoning and its one house per two acres and this is what we should stick with. He said we are a rural area and I bought and moved here because it is a rural area. Mr. Murphy said this is not a city and if these guys want to make a city then go into Medina and build a city; this is not for us.

Ms. Hopkins asked to respond and said again, the benefit of the plan for the PMUO district is that it is part of the review process to establish what is permitted in terms of the density and number of dwelling units and at that time when the general development plan is in front of you for your consideration, that would be a discussion with you in terms of what the limitations would be on the density and the types of units.

Additional Questions by the Board on Density & Traffic

Mrs. Wetterman said you mentioned earlier about three units per acre. Mrs. Wetterman said I understand that is proposed future and asked how many acres are in that golf course? Ms. Hopkins stated it is about 200 acres. Mrs. Wetterman said and you wanted three units per acre that is 600 houses. Mr. Terry said not quite because you have to take out all of the roadways, all of the green space and all of the wetland area out there so it won't come up to that many. Mrs. Wetterman asked how many houses are you proposing then? Ms. Hopkins stated there is not a set determination yet on the number of dwelling units because the purpose of tonight's meeting is to get your reactions on what is acceptable. Vice Chair Mickas stated he believes that is a serious concern on the amount of properties you are wanting to rezone and the plan is to look at developing on that property and that would be a serious concern both for safety, public health, schools and everything around that. Mrs. Wetterman added the traffic concern. Mr. Mickas agreed on the traffic and speed concerns and said but we will wait until we get the formal application. Mr. Terry said that is why we are using the PMUO because that allows you to have a lot of say in the amount of density. Ms. Hopkins stated in response to the request or need of traffic information that is something that the developer will provide during the formal application process. Ms. Hopkins stated Mr. Terry did point out that would be something for your review not only for the township but also for the county.

6. **Frank Dornauer, 1245 Marks Road** pointed to the map and said he lives in the parcel just north of the development that is there now. Mrs. Kijek asked if it is next to the storage units. Mr. Dornauer said yes and said there is a lot there that has been used as a detention basin which is basically five acres and then there is my property. He said I am in favor of developments that help the community and overall I think this thing makes sense. He said 20 years ago I moved here to be in a rural environment and I still enjoy that and I am willing to relocate to facilitate this but I am not going to have the property next to me rezoned to C-3. Mr. Dornauer said and this was a notice that I got from the board I believe to approve the rezoning that was put in my door. Secretary Milanko asked what letter? Mr. Mickas asked for an example of the letter. Secretary Milanko stated the board did not send a letter. Mr. Mickas stated that was not from the board. Mr. Dornauer stated it was put in my front door (note: letter not provided for the record). Vice Chair Mickas interrupted and said Mr. Terry you talked about sending a letter out – is this the letter you sent out? Mr. Terry said no and told Mr. Dornauer that his property is included on the rezoning and referenced the map. Vice Chair Mickas stated he just wanted to provide clarity that the letter that was sent out did not come from the board. Mr. Dornauer stated the neighbors need to be taken care of too with all of this. End of discussion.

Announcement of Next Meeting Date: Thursday, May 2, 2019 @ 7 p.m.

Motion to Adjourn: Mrs. Murphy made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Mr. Kijek seconds the motion.

Roll Call: All in favor. Meeting officially adjourned at 8:24 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,
Mary Jean Milanko, Secretary

Zoning Commission

Date